Dn the edge of justice: the legal needs of people with a mental Ilness (2006) Cite this report Ch 1. Introduction

ital illness`?

ns of mental illness are notoriously difficult to draft. If they are framed too narrowly they deny services to people. re too broad they may result in unnecessary intervention.³

roblems and mental illness refer to a range of cognitive, emotional and behavioural disorders that ie lives and productivity of people. There is, however, no one single definition of mental illness, as across jurisdictions and professions. In determining an appropriate definition of mental illness for the re taken into consideration legal, clinical and social approaches to defining mental illness.

ns of mental illness

lealth Act 1990 (NSW) sch. 1, "mental illness" is defined as a condition characterised by the presence uch as delusions, hallucinations, serious disorder of thought form, a severe disturbance of mood, or peated irrational behaviour, which seriously impairs, either temporarily or permanently, the mental person.⁶ A "mentally ill person" is someone who suffers a mental illness where, owing to that illness, nable grounds for believing that care, treatment or control of the person is necessary, for their own or on. This determination must take into account the person's continuing condition, including the effects of ioration in their condition.⁷

that the above-named symptoms, listed in the *Mental Health Act 1990* (NSW), are most often a diagnosis of psychosis, a particular and more severe form of mental illness. Other more common s such as anxiety disorders, depression and substance abuse may not necessarily fit the definition Act.⁸

tions of mental illness

cus of clinical practice is on prevention and control of mental illness through treatment, clinical ental illness are far broader than their legal counterparts. It is rare to find a single definition in the in this context, a definitive statement about what is mental illness is often less helpful than determining should be classified and treated.

ere are two main international medical standards used in the classification of mental illness. The first of orld Health Organisation's International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10), last revised in 1992 and antly in Europe. The ICD-10 defines "mental disorder" as "a general term which implies the existence of gnisable set of symptoms or behaviour associated ... with ... interference with personal functions".⁹

ernational standard is the American Psychiatric Association's Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of rs (DSM-IV), revised in 2000 and used more frequently in the UK and the US. According to this system, der" must comprise a manifestation of "behavioural, psychological, or biological dysfunction in the

Ily significant behavioural or psychological syndrome or pattern that occurs in an individual and that is associated sent distress … or disability … or with a significantly increased risk of suffering death, pain, disability, or an t loss of freedom …¹⁰

ion systems have been adopted by key Australian agencies. The Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) lapted version of the ICD-10 for its surveys (such as the 2001 National Health Survey (NHS)).¹¹ In the I Health Plan 2003–08,¹² both the ICD-10 and DSM-IV classification systems are cited.

ealth Department of Health and Aged Care's Mental Health Branch makes the further distinction of ital illnesses as either psychotic—including schizophrenia and some forms of depression—or nonuding phobias, anxiety, some forms of depression, eating disorders, physical symptoms involving in, and obsessive-compulsive disorder.¹³

ons of mental illness

hiatric disability' is a narrower term than mental illness, as not all people with a mental illness will elves, or be considered, to have a psychiatric disability. This is reflected, for example, in the *Disability 386* (Cth), where the very narrow definition of "disability" is restricted to those conditions which are likely to be permanent".

t is important to consider the social model of disability, which though subject to constant evolution, is d by disability advocates. While not denying the individual's limitations, the social model understands unction of "society's failure to provide appropriate services and adequately ensure the needs of a are fully taken into account in its social organisation".¹⁴ This is in contrast to "official" definitions, which in the individual's pathology or biology.¹⁵ One important Australian study which applied the social Disability Council of NSW's (Disability Council) 2003 *A Question of Justice* report.¹⁶ Here, the model nift the focus from issues of individual impairment to issues of systemic disablement", identifying as the ility not impairment itself, but socially and economically constructed discrimination and exclusion, that as of society *towards impairment*. Carney suggests that the social model has now gained wide hin disability literature, with policy also moving away from the traditional medical model and towards a understanding, whereby the emphasis is on "participation" rather than "impairment".¹⁷

ition of mental illness for this project

SM-IV is somewhat more commonly used in clinical settings in Australia, the Project has adopted the on, which is used by the ABS and so enables the use of ABS data. The Project did not adopt the *Mental* 0 (NSW) definition due to its more limited scope.

erest to the Project were the disorders with the highest prevalence in Australia and NSW, namely, rs, affective disorders and substance use disorders. As the next section indicates, a significant number W are affected by these disorders. Recent literature has focused on the social and economic that those suffering from these disorders can face.¹⁸ Despite their lower prevalence, psychotic also of interest, given their strong association with high levels of social, economic and, at times, rantage.¹⁹ While the above-named disorders were of particular interest, no mental illnesses were our study. In accordance with the design of this research, those we interviewed and consulted were free ver mental illnesses they felt were relevant.

r the purposes of the Access to Justice and Legal Needs of People with Mental Illness Project, 'mental the existence of a clinically recognisable set of symptoms or behaviour associated in most cases with th interference with personal functions.²⁰ While not an exhaustive list, the following clinically sorders were of particular interest in our study:

i **disorders:** social phobia, agoraphobia, panic disorder, generalised anxiety disorder, obsessivesive disorder, and post-traumatic stress disorder.

r. *r*.

nce use disorders: alcohol and drug abuse and dependence.

tic disorders: schizophrenia and substance-induced psychotic disorders.

with this definition, the social model of disability—explained above—was also drawn upon. This model nderstanding of the social and environmental factors that contribute to the lived experience of people rders.²¹

Act 1990 (NSW), sch. 1.

Act 1990 (NSW), s. 9.

al Straitjackets: When Reason Fails: Law and Mental Illness, in H Selby (ed.), Tomorrows Law, Federation Press, p. 295316 at p. 312.

alth Ministers, National Mental Health Plan 20032008, Australian Government, Canberra, 2003, p. 5. ental Health and the Criminal Justice System, Crime and Justice Bulletin: Contemporary Issues in Crime and 1998, p. 8.

Ital Health and the Criminal Justice System, p. 8. According to Carney, conditions such as addictions and coalways taxed the law and service systems, and the lack of coordination in many jurisdictions fails both people less and the community. While the NSW model in regards to such complex needs clients is broader and well ly in comparison to many others, there remains a need for greater linkages and accountability as between

s, perhaps through a legislative regime like Victorias Human Services (Complex Needs) Act 2003. See T Carney, at the Boundaries of Mental Health, Justice and Welfare: Gatekeeping Issues in Managing Chronic Alcoholism current Issues in Criminal Justice, (in press), 2006.

Drganisation, ICD-10 Classification of Mental and Behavioral Disorders: Clinical Descriptions and Diagnostic d Health Organisation, Geneva, 1992, p. 5.

γchiatric Association, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders: DSM-IV-TR, 4th edn, American ciation, Washington, DC, 2000.

reau of Statistics (ABS), National Health Survey: Summary of Results, cat. no. 4364.0, Canberra, 2001. alth Ministers, National Mental Health Plan 20032008.

of Health and Aged Care (now the Department of Health and Ageing), National Action Plan for Promotion, Early Intervention for Mental Health, Mental Health and Special Programs Branch, Commonwealth Department of I Care, Canberra, 2000.

lerstanding DisabilityFrom Theory to Practice, MacMillan Press, London, 1996, p. 32.

C Barnes, Disabled People and Social PolicyFrom Exclusion to Inclusion, Addison Wesley Longman, New York,

Incil of NSW (Disability Council), A Question of Justice: Access to Participation for People with Disabilities in Justice System, Disability Council, Sydney, 2003, p. 19.

hensive overview of this shift in policy imperatives see T Carney, Disability and Social Security: Compatible or an Journal of Human Rights, vol. 9, no. 2, 2003, pp. 139172.

n, Estimating the Prevalence of Mental Disorders among Income Support Recipients: Approach, Validity and Research Paper No. 21, Centre for Mental Health Research, Australian National University, Canberra, 2003. J McGrath, H Herrman, D Castle, O Gureje, V Morgan & A Korten, People Living with Psychotic Illness: An 199798, Mental Health Branch, Department of Health and Aged Care, Canberra, 1999. Organisation, ICD-10, p. 5. standing Disability.

Previous page in report | Next page in report

© Law and Justice Foundation Privacy Policy | Disclaimer | Last updated 06/01/2010 05:49:58 PM Built by itechne. Powered by edDesk™